

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,002	12/12/2000	Michael D. Bullock	Y00-044	3382
75'	90 02/25/2004	EXAMINER		
Law Offices of	f K. W. Float	DUONG, THANH P		
Box 80790 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688-0790			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ranono banta iv	iaigai, 0.1 >2000 0.5		1764	15
			DATE MAU ED: 02/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A 15			A			
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		09/735,00		BULLOCK ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	TI MAN INO DATE ON	Tom P Due	-	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet witi	h the correspondence addi	ress			
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum or to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve imunication. us of 30 days, a reply within the statu statutory period will apply and will us will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reputer, may a reputer minimum of thirty II expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this com  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>25 August 2003</u>	•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by t	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	∷ a) accepted or b)[	objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	= ' '		• •				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin							
	The oath or declaration is objected	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached	Office Action or form PTC	)-152.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority			119(a)-(d) or (f).				
• •	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation</li></ul>	/ documents have beer of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received in Ap ents have been r e 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National S	tage			
13) <u> </u>	See the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.	for domestic priority un ed in the first sentence	nder 35 U.S.C. § of the specificat	119(e) (to a provisional a tion or in an Application D	application) ata Sheet.			
	) The translation of the foreign la							
14)∐ <i>P</i> r∈	cknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first ser	for domestic priority un itence of the specificat	der 35 U.S.C. § ion or in an App	§ 120 and/or 121 since a lication Data Sheet. 37 Cl	specific FR 1.78.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 3711

# Response to Arguments

In view of the appeal brief filed on August 25, 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for claims 5 and 6. In claims 5 and 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the lateral portions of the front surface are flat surfaces," and "the lateral portions of the front surface are curved surfaces," respectively.

Art Unit: 3711

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-6 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy (3,819,180). Regarding claims 1-6, 9-11, and 12-13, Murphy discloses a putter head comprising: a straight or offset shaft 14 (Figs. 1 and 6), a single solid, trapezoidal, unitary body 10 with relatively small, flat impact face (Col. 2, lines 53-59), and deflected balanced wings 17 and 8 constitutes lateral portions (Figures 1-6). Regarding claims 5-6 and 13-14, it is inherent in view of Murphy that the deflected balanced wings will deflect a golf ball away from its intended target whether the wings have flat or curved surfaces.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3711

2. Claims 7-8 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy '180 in view of Swash (D234,962) and Holliday et al. (5,769,737). Murphy does not disclose lateral portions with openings to receive a shaft and a rear portion of the putter head having a cavity. Swash '962 teaches lateral portions with openings to facilitate shaft attachment for both left-hander and right-hander (Figs. 2-3). Swash '962 also teaches rear portion having a cavity to reduce the overall weight of the putter head. Holliday '737 makes it clear that the large surface cavity 17 removes unnecessary weight from the club head 10 to reduce weight of the putter head. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Swash and Holliday to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a rear cavity as taught by Swash and/or Holliday in order to reduce the overall weight of the putter head.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-4559. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Tom Duong

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700